


Remedy for Taxpayer Rights

When a taxpayer is dissatisfied with the action taken by the District Director of the Tax Office for taxation and delinquent tax, the said taxpayer may file a request for a review, for example to cancel that disposition. This system of request for review is a procedure to simply and quickly protect taxpayer rights and interests. In principle, a taxpayer who objects to a disposition shall first file a request for review before bringing a lawsuit in court.

A request for review can be a request for reinvestigation to the District Director of the Tax Office, etc., or a request for reconsideration to the Director-General of the National Tax Tribunal, and a taxpayer can choose either of these. When a taxpayer chooses a request for reinvestigation but is still dissatisfied with the disposition determined for the request for reinvestigation, the taxpayer may file a request for reconsideration.

In June 2014, the relevant laws relating to this review system were revised, including the revision of the principle of petition after a request for review, the extension of the period for a request for review, the change of the Japanese name of a request for reinvestigation from “Igi Moshitate” to “Saichosa no Seikyu,” setting the standard length of deliberations, the expansion of the rights of the applicant of a request for reconsideration in the procedure for a request for reconsideration. This revision came into force on April 1, 2016. For further details, please refer to the leaflet for the revision of the request for review system for national taxes on the NTA website.





(1) Request for reinvestigation

~ Simplified, prompt and appropriate remedies for taxpayer rights ~

A request for reinvestigation is the system for a request for review made by taxpayers who are dissatisfied with the decision made by the District Director of the Tax Office, etc., such as a correction, determination, or seizure, in pursuit of cancellations or changes in disposition. A request for review is selectively filed against the District Director of the Tax Office, etc., who executed said disposition, before the taxpayer files a request for reconsideration to the Director-General of the National Tax Tribunal.

The request for review system aims to pursue the remedy of the rights and interests of citizens and to ensure the appropriate operation of public administration with simplified, prompt and fair procedures. Thus, when a request for reinvestigation is filed, we endeavor to listen intently to taxpayers' claims, conduct fair examinations and consideration, and process requests appropriately and expeditiously.

(2) Request for reconsideration

~ Remedy for taxpayer rights by a fair third-party institution ~

A request for reconsideration is the system for a request for review, to the Director-General of the National Tax Tribunal, made by taxpayers who are dissatisfied with the decision made by the District Director of the Tax Office, etc., such as a correction, determination, or seizure, in pursuit of cancellations or changes in disposition. A request for reconsideration can be directly filed without undergoing a request for reinvestigation. A request for consideration can also be filed when a taxpayer files a request for reinvestigation but is still dissatisfied with the disposition determined for the request for reinvestigation.

The National Tax Tribunal is an organization whose mission is to pursue remedy of the legitimate rights and interests of taxpayers and to contribute to ensuring the proper operation of tax administration. It makes decisions on requests for reconsideration from the position of a fair third party. Important posts such as the Director-General for the National Tax Tribunal, as well as the Directors of the Tokyo and Osaka Regional Tax Tribunals, are appointed from among those people who have held the position of judge or public prosecutor. For the position of appeal judges of the National Tax Tribunal, specialists in the private sector such as Certified Public Tax Accountants and lawyers are employed as officials with fixed terms.

In handling a request for reconsideration, the National Tax Tribunal organizes and clarifies the points under dispute. It then fully examines the contents of the documentary evidence, etc., presented by the person requesting reconsideration, and the District Director of the Tax Office conducts its own tax examination and strives to properly and quickly handle the request for reconsideration.

Decisions by the Director-General of the National Tax Tribunal will not be more disadvantageous to taxpayers than those made by the District Director of the Tax Office. The decision is a final ruling given within the NTA, against which the District Director of the Tax Office etc. are not entitled to file litigation, even if dissatisfied.

(3) Litigation

~ Remedy by law ~

Even after the decision by the Director-General of the National Tax Tribunal, taxpayers who remain dissatisfied are entitled to file litigation with the judiciary seeking a legal remedy.

Response to complaints from taxpayers

The NTA receives from taxpayers such reactions as complaints, requests, criticism, or consultation on problems they have, not only with regard to requests for review of decisions by the NTA, but also about tax administration in general including the attitude of officials or the methods of tax examinations, etc. The NTA believes it essential to sincerely respond to a variety of opinions from taxpayers in order to obtain their understanding and confidence and endeavors to give a prompt, accurate response from the perspective of taxpayers. In July 2001, the NTA appointed Taxpayer Support Officers to properly respond to taxpayer complaints on decisions made in relation to taxpayers' rights and interests, for example by explaining the procedures to remedy taxpayer rights.

IV Remedy for Taxpayer Rights

(4) Trend in remedies for taxpayer rights

~ The NTA is working to finish processing requests for reinvestigation within 3 months and requests for reconsideration within 1 year in principle ~

a. Request for reinvestigation

① Target

The NTA has set the standard length of deliberations for a request for reinvestigation to 3 months and is striving to finish processing requests for reinvestigations within 3 months in principle.

② Result

In FY2015, 99.3% of requests for reinvestigation were closed within 3 months.

3,200 reinvestigations were requested in the fiscal year (2,859 in taxation and 341 in tax collection). Of these, 8.4% of taxpayer claims were approved in whole or in part due to new facts, etc.

※ Relevant laws were revised in June 2014, and the Japanese name of a request for reinvestigation was changed from "Igi Moshitate" to "Saichosa no Seikyū." This revision was enforced in April 2016.

b. Request for reconsideration

① Target

With respect to requests for reconsideration, the NTA and the National Tax Tribunal have set the standard length of deliberations for a request for reconsideration to 1 year and are striving to finish processing within 1 year in principle.

② Result

In FY2015, 92.4% of requests for reconsideration were closed within 1 year.


There were 2,311 requests for reconsideration in the fiscal year (2,136 in taxation and 175 in tax collection). Of these, 8.0% of taxpayer claims were approved in whole or in part.

c. Litigation

For litigation, 262 cases were closed in FY2015 (218 in taxation, 40 in tax collection and 4 in the National Tax Tribunal). Of these, about 8.4% of taxpayer claims were approved in whole or in part.


※ The NTA and the National Tax Tribunal provide information such as the leaflet of revision of the request for review system for national tax, overviews of the requests for reinvestigation, the requests for reconsideration and litigation, and cases of decisions on requests for reconsideration, to deepen understanding about remedy for taxpayer rights. These are on the NTA website and on the National Tax Tribunal website (<http://www.kfs.go.jp>) (in Japanese).

● Percentage of processed requests for reinvestigation within 3 months, and number of processed requests for reinvestigation



* These figures are provisional numbers as of the end of April 2016.
* Percentage of processed cases is calculated excluding MAP cases, public prosecution-related cases and international taxation cases.

● Percentage of processed requests for reconsideration within 1 year, and number of processed requests for reconsideration



* These figures are provisional numbers as of the end of April 2016.